18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		*E-FILED 08-19-2010*
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	NOT FO	R CITATION
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11	CLARENCE CHAN,	No. C10-02528 HRL
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
13	V.	WINTIGENERY CONTENENCE
14	EMPIRE FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY; ZC STERLING INSURANCE	
15	AGENCY; ZURICH; and DOES 1-100,	
16	Defendants.	
17		

This matter is set for an initial case management conference on August 24, 2010. However, the court is informed that plaintiff failed to participate in the filing of a Joint Case Management Statement as required by Civil Local Rule 16-9. Additionally, the parties have not yet consented or declined to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge as required by Civil Local Rule 73-1.

Accordingly, the initial case management conference is **continued to August 31, 2010**, 1:30 p.m. Plaintiff shall file a separate Joint Case Management Statement no later than August **24, 2010.** Failure to timely file that statement may result in sanctions and, possibly, an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute the action. Additionally, no later than August 24, 2010, each party shall file either a consent or declination to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge. The forms are available from

the Clerk of the Court and on the court's website at www.cand.uscourts.gov.

Further, upon review of the defendants' initial disclosures, which were submitted to this court as part of their case management statement, this court has questions as to the existence of diversity jurisdiction—the only basis for federal subject matter jurisdiction asserted by defendants upon removal of this action from state court. Defendants acknowledge that defendant Sterling National Insurance Agency (Sterling)¹ is a California citizen (as is plaintiff). They nevertheless maintain that Sterling is a "sham" defendant as to whom no liability could be established because Sterling reportedly is not a party to the insurance contract at issue.

Defendants' initial disclosures, however, indicate that the adjusters with responsibility for the claim in question are employed by Sterling. Accordingly, at the case management conference, defendants shall be prepared to discuss the court's federal subject matter jurisdiction, and specifically, the potential liability, if any, of defendant Sterling, as an apparent agent of plaintiff's insurers.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 19, 2010

H(WARD R/LLO ID UNITED ST. TES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Sterling says that it was erroneously sued as "ZC Sterling Insurance Agency."

Case 5:10-cv-02528-EJD Document 11 Filed 08/19/10 Page 3 of 3

Court	ornia
United States District Court	For the Northern District of California
ed States	or the Northern
Unit	ŭ

1	5:10-cv-02528-HRL Notice has been electronically mailed to:
2	Elaine I. Videa evidea@bishop-barry.com
3	Jonathan Gross jgross@bishop-barry.com, kmcandrews@bishop-barry.com
4	Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have no registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.
5	registered for e-filling under the court's Civi/ECT program.
6	5:10-cv-02528-HRL Notice mailed to:
7	Clarence Chan 3195 Alexis Drive
8	Palo Alto, CA 94303
9	Pro Se Plaintiff
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
7.8	